

# PDR RID Report

**Date Last Modified** 4/25/95

**Originator** Truitt, Thomas

**Phone No** 301-982-5414

**Organization** Intermetrics System Services

**E Mail Address** trt@gbll.inmet.com

**Document** CSMS Requirements Specification for the ECS Project,  
304-CD-003-001, 12/94

**Section** 1.0

**Page** 5-5

**Figure Table** NA

|                       |             |     |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----|
| <b>RID ID</b>         | <b>PDR</b>  | 281 |
| <b>Review</b>         | CSMS        |     |
| <b>Originator Ref</b> | IVV-TRT-017 |     |
| <b>Priority</b>       | 2           |     |

**Category Name** Requirements

**Actionee** HAIS

**Sub Category**

**Subject** Are the ICDs Binding "Build To" Documents?

## **Description of Problem or Suggestion:**

According to the document introduction, the ICDs are not binding, but the IRDs are. Requirements are written on page 5-5, and elsewhere, referencing these ICDs. If the ICDs are not binding, then why are they being referenced in requirements? If they are not binding, isn't it true that development does not have to design to them?

## **Originator's Recommendation**

The ECS development contractor needs to clarify their position on the ICDs not being binding documents and change the documentation to clarify the position.

**GSFC Response by:** Schroeder

**GSFC Response Date** 4/10/95

All external ICDs are binding on both sides of the interface, and will be configuration controlled by the ESDIS CCB.

**HAIS Response by:** Forman

**HAIS Schedule** 2/28/95

**HAIS R. E.** Armstrong

**HAIS Response Date** 4/10/95

The CSMS Requirements Specification (304-CD-003-001) will be updated to reflect that the IRDs defines the interface requirements. ICDs are in deed "binding" since the ICDs define how the interfaces are to be implemented in the the design specification (DID 305).

**Status** Closed

**Date Closed** 4/25/95

**Sponsor** Schroeder

\*\*\*\*\* Attachment if any \*\*\*\*\*